

**REMARKS**

The original specification has been carefully reviewed and the improper term and typing error are corrected.

Claims 1 to 3 have been replaced by newly added claims 4 to 7. It is respectfully submitted that the claims 4 to 7 are patentable over the cited reference USP 4,816,109 (Ingram).

It is noted that the glue tape cutter of the application is an improved hand carrying type glue tape cutter for sealing openings of a paper box. However, the tape dispenser disclosed by Ingram is used for taping a product such as a head of lettuce. Usage and operational way of the application are different from Ingram. It means that the basic structure of the application is not the same as Ingram's tape dispenser in spite of some of parts utilized in the application being similar to Ingram's tape dispenser.

It is pleased that the original claims 2 and 3 are allowed and in order to recite the characteristics of the application clearly, the claims have been rearranged distinctly.

The tape dispenser of Ingram basically includes a frame 10 sides 11, 12, a top 13 and a bottom 14, a spool 18 with a roll of tape 17, two arctic shoulders 19, 20, a lever 22 pivoting in the frame on a pin 23, a spring 24 connected between the lever 22 and another pin 25, a lever unit 31 with fixed arms 34, 36 mounted on a shaft 32 in the frame, a roller 33 being carried on the arm 34, a cutter head 35 with a serrated carried on the arm 36 and another spring 37 connected between the arm 34 and the pin 25 cutter plate 45. Comparing to the application, the

complete arrangement and operation of the tape dispenser of Ingram are very different from structure of the glue tape cutter disclosed in the application.

In view of the above, it is the applicant's contention that claim rejections based on 35 USC §112 and §102 are overcome and rejections based thereon should be withdrawn. Such action is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted

*YU. Tzu - chen*

Tzu-Chen Yu

Dated: January 18, 2005